

Retirement Risk #1: Not Understanding Risk



“Retirement” is a relatively new concept. Not so long ago, leisure-filled, post-employment days simply did not exist.

Shortly after the founding of the United States, one could expect to live to the ripe old age of 35¹. People worked their entire lives.

When Congress passed the Social Security Act in 1935², life expectancy had risen to a robust 61 years. Interestingly, the “retirement age” for full benefits was set at 65 years, four years beyond life expectancy.

Four fundamental risks

Investment risk

Allocation and diversification decisions – before and during retirement – can directly impact asset retention, growth potential and retirement income streams.

Longevity risk

Longer life expectancies increase the risk of retirees outliving their assets.

Liquidity risk

Certain investment vehicles, such as annuities and CDs, are less liquid and can restrict participants’ access to, and feelings of control over, their assets.

Utilization risk

Investment solutions must allow retirees to manage the drawdown of their wealth and help them maintain a desired standard of living throughout retirement.

1 Estimates of Regional and Global Life Expectancy 1800-2001. Population and Development Review. Volume 31, Issue 3 September 2005.
2 Social Security Fact Sheet, ssa.gov

The retirement investing lifecycle can be split into two phases: Saving (pre-retirement or accumulation) and Spending (retirement or decumulation)³.

Saving: Early and often

The pre-retirement period aims to achieve one singular objective — to maximize the assets available to fund retirement. To do this, one needs to begin saving consistently, as early as possible, using instruments that can let those assets grow. Ample savings, invested prudently over time, should create (ideally) a sizable nest egg.

Spending (pt. 1): This could last a while

A realistic retirement plan rejects a definitive timeline. Any retirement plan must address the reality of *longevity risk*.

To start, we tend to underestimate our expected lifespans.⁴ This helps to explain why a typical retiree reports a financial planning horizon of only five years and a general planning horizon of ten years.⁵ Put simply, although not a certainty, we must plan for the possibility of a long life.

In the worst-case for longevity risk (*but best case for us biologically speaking*) we live a long, healthy life with a long, rewarding retirement and enjoy sufficient assets for the experience. However, as the Allman Brothers poignantly remind us, “You can’t take it with you when you go.” In the best-case for longevity risk (*worst-case biologically speaking*) we die pre-maturely and our assets become a generous bequest.

Given this substantial uncertainty, retirement assets must provide growth to support what could be decades in retirement, while seeking to mitigate volatility that could diminish a retiree’s standard of living. And don’t forget about keeping pace with inflation which can quickly erode the buying power of those retirement dollars.

Put simply, a retiree’s investment portfolio must aim for a Goldilocks-like level of risk and return: not too-hot where point-in-time risk may diminish the standard of living, but not too-cold where there is insufficient growth to fund for a long life or a bequest.

Spending (pt. 2): Surprises (not the good kind)

Uncertainty doesn’t stop at life expectancy. It permeates retiree spending patterns as well. A 2015 Society of Actuaries study explored the experiences of individuals in retirement. Among a variety of insights, the study⁶ exposed one often overlooked issue.

- Financial shocks have a material impact on many retirees. More than one in three survey respondents experienced financial shocks that depleted at least 25% of their assets
- More than one in ten retirees stated that they needed to reduce their spending by 50% or more as a result of shocks.

Events such as major home repairs, dental expenses, prescription drug costs and divorce (to name a few) illustrate the need for flexibility, such as the ability to adjust spending. The random and often sudden nature of these events require a degree of *liquidity* to meet these expenses. Such expenses also highlight the potential benefit of maintaining some exposure to growth assets (such as equity). This provides an opportunity for participants to recover, at least in part, from shorter term downside volatility.

Utilization: If you build it, will they come?

Fifteen years of post- Pension Protection Act experience reinforce the importance of default options. Default options, with target date funds being the most prominent, typically garner the majority of quarterly inflows⁷.

This begs the question of whether an income solution that is not part-and-parcel of the default can successfully appeal to those in and near retirement. Patterns of usage suggest these participants are less likely to utilize default options⁸. A survey conducted by Franklin Templeton⁹ found that 52% of those over 50 years old preferred to self-manage their DC investment options, while 62% reported rebalancing or making changes to their portfolios at least annually. These utilization and behavior patterns suggest that, if given clear and objective educational tools, those in and near retirement would appreciate solutions more specifically tailored to a “de-cumulation” objective.

3 Although we often think of these as distinct phases there is an increasing gray area between when one phase ends and another begins.

4 O’Connell, A. (2011). How Long Do We Expect to Live? A Review of the Evidence. *Journal of Population Ageing*, 4, 185-201.

5 *Risks and Process of Retirement Survey Report*, 2012 Society of Actuaries

6 Survey Says: 2015 Survey of Post-Retirement Risks and the Experience of Long-Term Retirees, Cynthia Levering Pension Section News Sept 2016, Issue 90

7 Callan DC Index www.callan.com/dc-index as presented in the Quarterly Net Cash Flow Analysis.

8 Source: EBRI.org: [Target Date Funds: Evidence Points to Growing Popularity and Appropriate Use by 401\(k\) Plan Participants \(Sept 9, 2021\)](#)

9 “The Anchor Leg of the DC Plan: Helping Participants Finish Strong with a “Retirement Tier” Topic Paper 2017. Carrington, Drew; Glotzbach, Mary Beth and Waters, Tom

Even if a solution can provide an elegant drawdown, it isn't worth much if participants don't understand it. A solution must allow participants to easily engage and understand not only how income is generated but the level of income they can reasonably expect in retirement. Perhaps most important: A participant's level of difficulty in getting money from the plan to a checking account, will largely determine the success of a drawdown solution for a given population.

Challenging? Sure. Insurmountable? No.

Successful drawdown solutions must, to some degree, accommodate the behaviors and preferences of those in and near retirement. Moreover, they must address the risks associated with this phase of the investment cycle in a manner appropriate to a participant's specific situation.

About LGIM America

LGIM America (LGIMA) was founded in 2006 with the purpose of helping people achieve their long-term financial goals. We offer a range of strategies to help our institutional clients (corporations, healthcare agencies, non-profit, education, public plans and Taft-Hartley) manage their investment objectives, which can range from market-based alpha-oriented strategies to those that are designed to be more liability-centric, derivative overlays, or indexed solutions. Encouraging a diverse and inclusive environment coupled with a solutions-focused culture allows us to increase our breadth of knowledge and the likelihood of improved client outcomes and stronger financial performance. We have teams of experienced, innovative professionals committed to helping plan sponsors meet their pension promises, managing investment exposures efficiently to seek enhanced returns while mitigating risks, and working to generate returns while making a positive societal difference. For further information about LGIM America, find us at www.lgima.com.

Important information

This material is intended to provide only general educational information and/or market commentary. Views and opinions expressed herein are those of Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. as of the date of publication and may change based on market and other conditions. This material is intended to discuss key risks and approaches that participants might consider and/or experience during the different phases of retirement. This information is not intended to depict any particular product or approach that is best. Every retiree's situation is different depending upon their assets, liabilities, other sources of income, tax situation and market factors, both foreseen and unforeseen. Please consult your professional advisors, including investment advisers and tax advisors for additional information. There is no guarantee that the approaches described will be successful or avoid loss of principal.

The material contained here is confidential and intended for the person to whom it has been delivered and may not be reproduced or distributed. The material is for informational purposes only and is not intended as a solicitation to buy or sell any securities or other financial instrument or to provide any investment advice or service. Views and opinions expressed herein are as of the date set forth above and may change based on market and other conditions.

To meet these requirements, we suggest approaching retirement as a series of distinct phases, rather than a single monolithic period. In our next paper we will discuss

- the key elements of each phase,
- the prominent risks, and their potential impact, in each phase
- adjusting income strategies as spending patterns and needs evolve.

We're here to help

For more information about this whitepaper or LGIM America's capabilities, please contact Jimmy Veneruso at: Inquiry.DefinedContribution@lgima.com.